

### **REMARKS**

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants appreciate the allowance of claims 1-2 and 8-10. Rejected claims 11-15 have been cancelled herein to expedite the prosecution of the instant application.

Claims 13 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for lack of antecedent basis for the limitation "said cooling holder." As mentioned above, claims 13 and 15 have been canceled by amendment herein, thereby rendering the rejection moot.

Claims 11-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,682,397 to Scheps. Further, claims 11-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over admitted prior art in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,563,763 to Kuhn. As mentioned above, claims 11-15 have been canceled by amendment herein, thereby rendering the rejection moot.


In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

Appln. No. 10/619,305  
Amdt. dated June 5, 2006  
Reply to Final Office Action dated March 3, 2006

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same  
to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 35859.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:   
Aaron A. Fishman – Reg. No. 44,682

1801 East 9th Street  
Suite 1200  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108  
(216) 579-1700

Date: June 5, 2006